

# MEETING NOTICE

**Date:** Wednesday, January 18, 2012  
**Time:** 3:00 P.M.  
**Place:** Madera County Transportation Commission  
 Conference Room  
 2001 Howard Road, Suite 201  
 Second Floor – Citizens Business Bank Bldg.

## Agenda

Item	Description	Enclosure	Action
MCTC sitting as the Transportation Policy Committee			
<b>I.</b>	<b>PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE</b>		
<b>II.</b>	<b>PUBLIC COMMENT</b> This time is made available for comments from the public on matters within the Board’s jurisdiction that are not on the agenda. Each speaker will be limited to three (3) minutes. Attention is called to the fact that the Board is prohibited by law from taking any substantive action on matters discussed that are not on the agenda, and no adverse conclusions should be drawn if the Board does not respond to the public comment at this time. It is requested that no comments be made during this period on items that are on today’s agenda. Members of the public may comment on any item that is on today’s agenda when the item is called and should notify the Chairman of their desire to address the Board when that agenda item is called.		
<b>III.</b>	<b>TRANSPORTATION CONSENT ITEMS</b> All items on the consent agenda are considered to be routine and non-controversial by MCTC staff and will be approved by one motion if no member of the Committee or public wishes to comment or ask questions. If comment or discussion is desired by anyone, the item will be removed from the consent agenda and will be considered in the listed sequence with an opportunity for any member of the public to address the Committee concerning the item before action is taken.		
A.	2012-13 Bicycle Transportation Account – Call for Projects	No	Info/Disc
B.	Cycle 10 Safe Routes to School (SR2S) Program – Call for Projects	Yes	Info/Disc
C.	2011 California Transportation Commission Annual Report	Yes	Info/Disc
D.	2011 Annual Listing of Projects	Yes	Info/Disc
E.	FY 2012-2013 Transportation Planning Grants Application	No	Info/Disc
F.	2011/12 Section 5311 Program of Projects	Yes	Approve
G.	Section 5316 JARC and Section 5317 New Freedom – Call for Projects	Yes	Info/Disc
H.	San Joaquin Valley Regional Transportation Planning Agencies – 2012 Legislative Platform	Yes	Info/Disc
I.	Caltrans Quarterly Update for January/February/March 2012	Yes	Info/Disc
J.	State Route 99 White Paper	Yes	Info/Disc
K.	2011 Statewide Transportation System Needs Assessment	Yes	Info/Disc

- |    |  |     |           |
|----|--|-----|-----------|
| L. | Blueprint Committee Appointments   | No  | Info/Disc |
| M. | Letter of Support: North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians – Transportation and Training Center, Housing and Urban Development 2012 ICDBG Application | Yes | Info/Disc |

**IV. TRANSPORTATION ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS**

- |    |   |     |           |
|----|---|-----|-----------|
| A. | <b>PUBLIC HEARING:</b> 2011 Federal Transportation Improvement Program – Resolution 10-12 Amendment No. 7 | Yes | Approve   |
| B. | Madera County 2012 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP)                                     | Yes | Info/Disc |
| C. | Appointment of CalVans Board member and Alternate   | No  | Appoint   |
| D. | Valley Voice – Sacramento 2012 Trip – March 7-8, 2012   | No  | Appoint   |

**MCTC Sitting as the Madera County Transportation Commission**

- |    |  |    |          |
|----|--|----|----------|
| V. | <b>REAFFIRM ALL ACTIONS TAKEN WHILE SITTING AS THE TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE</b> | No | Reaffirm |
|----|--|----|----------|

**VI. ADMINISTRATIVE CONSENT ITEMS**

All items on the consent agenda are considered to be routine and non-controversial by MCTC staff and will be approved by one motion if no member of the Committee or public wishes to comment or ask questions. If comment or discussion is desired by anyone, the item will be removed from the consent agenda and will be considered in the listed sequence with an opportunity for any member of the public to address the Committee concerning the item before action is taken.

- |    |   |     |         |
|----|---|-----|---------|
| A. | Executive Minutes of November 23, 2011  | Yes | Approve |
| B. | Transportation Development Act (TDA) Fund Audit Report for Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2010: County of Madera                | Yes | Accept  |
| C. | Local Streets and Roads Assessment (Contribution) – Resolution 12-01  | Yes | Approve |
| D. | Transportation Development Act (LTF, STA) – Allocations, STA Resolution 11-09 Amend No. 3, LTF Resolution 11-08 Amend No. 3 | Yes | Approve |

**VII. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS**

- |    |  |     |         |
|----|--|-----|---------|
| A. | FY 2011/12 Overall Work Program – Budget Amendment No. 1 | Yes | Approve |
|----|--|-----|---------|

**MCTC Sitting as the Madera County 2006 Transportation Authority**

**VIII. AUTHORITY – ADMINISTRATIVE CONSENT ITEMS**

All items on the consent agenda are considered to be routine and non-controversial by MCTC staff and will be approved by one motion if no member of the Committee or public wishes to comment or ask questions. If comment or discussion is desired by anyone, the item will be removed from the consent agenda and will be considered in the listed sequence with an opportunity for any member of the public to address the Committee concerning the item before action is taken.

- |    |  |         |        |
|----|--|---------|--------|
| A. | FY 2010/11 Madera County Transportation Authority Audit Report   | Handout | Accept |
| B. | FY 2009/10 Measure “T” Compliance Audit Report: County of Madera | Yes     | Accept |

**IX. AUTHORITY – ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS**

- |    |  |     |         |
|----|--|-----|---------|
| A. | FY 2011/12 Annual Work Program – Amendment No. 1 | Yes | Approve |
|----|--|-----|---------|

**X. Miscellaneous**

- |                             |    |           |
|-----------------------------|----|-----------|
| A. Election of Officers     | No | Info/Disc |
| B. Items from Caltrans      | No | Info/Disc |
| C. Items from Staff         | No | Info/Disc |
| D. Items from Commissioners | No | Info/Disc |

**XI. Adjournment**

No

**\*Items listed above as information still leave the option for guidance/direction actions by the Board.**

# Annotated Agenda

## Madera County Transportation Commission January 18, 2012 Meeting

---

### I. Pledge of Allegiance

### II. PUBLIC COMMENT

### III. TRANSPORTATION CONSENT ITEMS

#### A. 2012-13 Bicycle Transportation Account – Call for Projects

**Summary:** The Bicycle Transportation Account (BTA) is an annual program that provides state funds for city and county projects that improve safety and convenience for bicycle commuters. To be eligible for BTA funds, a city or county must prepare and adopt a Bicycle Transportation Plan (BTP) that complies with Streets and Highways Code Section 891.2. The BTP must be approved by the local agency's Regional Transportation Planning Agency.

Additional Information on Bicycle Transportation Account program is available at :  
<http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/bta/btawebPage.htm> (Stone)

**Action:** Information and Discussion Only

#### B. Cycle 10 Safe Routes to School (SR2S) Program – Call for Projects

**Summary:** The guidelines and application forms have been released for Cycle 10 of the Safe Routes to School Program (SR2S). Cycle 10 of the State SR2S program has \$45 million available statewide for this coming fiscal year. The application deadline is March 30, 2012 and should be submitted to the attention of your Caltrans District Local Assistance Engineer, Jim Perrault.

The following website link provides program updates; guidelines and application forms:  
[http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/saferoutes/sr2s\\_gaf.htm](http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/saferoutes/sr2s_gaf.htm) (Stone)

**Action:** Information and Discussion Only

#### C. 2011 California Transportation Commission Annual Report

**Summary:** The 2011 Annual Report to the California Legislature is now posted on the Commission's website at [www.catc.ca.gov](http://www.catc.ca.gov). Included in your package is a copy of the Chair and Vice Chair letter of transmittal to the Legislature.

The Commission was able to allocate more than \$5 billion for transportation projects – marking the fifth consecutive year it has moved more than \$4 billion in funding and enabling the California Department of Transportation to attain a construction activity level in excess of \$9 billion this year. Perhaps even more significant from a long-term perspective, it was in 2010 that the Commission authorized the first design-build procurements and public-private partnerships (P3) projects provided for under the landmark Senate bill 4 legislation signed into law in 2009. To date, the Commission has approved one public private partnership and seven design-build projects.

The state's current economic challenges did provide one tangible benefit in 2010; lower construction costs that enabled utilizing contract award savings to fund additional projects that would otherwise be shelved. To date, the Commission has allocated more than \$5 billion of the \$11.6 billion of the Proposition 1B funds under its purview. However, due to the state's inability to sell bonds while the 2010-11 state budget was being deliberated, more than \$700 million in Proposition 1B funding leveraging \$3.3 billion in projects ready for construction were awaiting the availability of bond funding, as of November 2010.

The State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) has also experienced its challenges in 2010. In May 2010, the Commission adopted the \$5.73 billion 2010 STIP covering highway and road projects through 2014-15. The Commission had to work with less revenue than expected, so in programming the 2010 STIP, many

projects were forced to be deferred into later years. The 2010 STIP assumes that \$469 million of the \$1.094 billion estimated to be available for allocation in 2010-11 will be available from the sale of Proposition 1B bonds. If sufficient bond revenues do not materialize even more STIP projects programmed in 2010-11 will be delayed.

According to the report, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (Recovery Act) of 2009 provided much-needed funding for projects that might otherwise not have moved forward due to the state's transportation funding challenges. California received and immediately put to use nearly \$2.6 billion in Recovery Act funds for highway and local street and road improvements across the state.

Issues for 2012 are as follows:

- Reliable Transportation Funding;
- The State's Transportation System;
- Federal Re-Authorization;
- Regulatory Compliance: Cost & Benefits;
- Innovative Project Delivery; and
- Implementation of SB 375

(Taylor)

**Action:** Information and Discussion Only

#### **D. 2011 Annual Listing of Projects**

**Summary:** SAFETEA-LU legislation requires that Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) either publish or make available an annual listing of projects for which federal funds have been obligated in the preceding year. This listing is required to be consistent with the categories identified within the Federal Transportation Improvement Program (FTIP). Commission staff recently published the 2011 Annual Listing of Projects on the MCTC Website at <http://www.maderactc.org>.

Included in your package is a listing of federally funded projects that were obligated during FY 2010-11. The project obligations are shown by specific program and project phase (i.e. preliminary engineering, right-of-way acquisition, and construction). (Stone)

**Action:** Information and Discussion Only

#### **E. FY 2012-2013 Transportation Planning Grants Application**

**Summary:** Caltrans is currently accepting applications for transportation planning grants. Details are online at <http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/grants.html>

Transit Planning includes:

1. Partnership Planning
2. Statewide or Urban Transit Planning Studies
3. Rural or Small Urban Transit Planning Studies
4. Environmental Justice
5. Community-Based Transportation Planning Grants
6. Transit Planning Student Internship

Applications are due via email by 5:00pm on Monday, April 2, 2012. Hard copies will NOT be accepted. (Taylor)

**Action:** Information and Discussion Only

#### **F. 2011/12 Section 5311 Program of Projects**

**Summary:** The Section 5311 program is the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Non-Urbanized Area Formula Grant Program. The FTA, on behalf of the U. S. Secretary of Transportation, annually allocates

apportioned Section 5311 funds to the governor of each state. Caltrans is the delegated recipient in California.

The Section 5311 program provides funding for public transportation projects serving areas that are outside of an urban boundary with a population of 50,000 or less. Funds may be used for capital, operating, planning or technical assistance projects. With these funds the mobility needs of rural transit users can be both supported and enhanced. Section 5311 Program grants are intended to provide access to employment, education and health care, shopping and recreation. In order to apply for Section 5311 funds, MCTC is required to submit a program of projects (POP).

A POP is the form that is used to identify the regional apportionment and flexible funded projects that the Transportation Planning Agency approves for the subrecipients within its jurisdiction. The subrecipients in the county of Madera include the City of Chowchilla and the County of Madera. Included in your package is the FFY 2012 POP for the Madera region. (*McNeil*)

*Action: Information and Discussion Only*

#### **G. Section 5316 JARC and Section 5317 New Freedom – Call for Projects**

**Summary:** It is anticipated that a call for projects will be announced for the Job Access and Reverse Commute program (JARC) and the New Freedom Program.

The Job Access and Reverse Commute program (JARC) goals are to improve access to transportation services to employment and employment related activities for low-income individuals and welfare recipients and to transport residents of urbanized areas and non-urbanized areas to suburban employment opportunities.

The New Freedom program goals are to provide new public transportation services to overcome existing barriers facing Americans with disabilities seeking integration into the workforce and full participation into society while expanding the transportation mobility options available to persons with disabilities beyond requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101, et seq.).(*Stone*)

*Action: Information and Discussion Only*

#### **H. San Joaquin Valley Regional Transportation Planning Agencies – 2012 Legislative Platform**

**Summary:** Each year, the San Joaquin Valley Regional Transportation Planning Agencies (RTPAs) develop a Legislative Platform highlighting legislative priorities at both state and federal levels. The platform is drafted by the Valley Legislative Affairs Committee, reviewed by the COG Directors, and adopted by the Regional Policy Council. Included in your package is a copy of the 2012 Legislative Platform.

The 2012 Legislative Platform establishes three primary legislative priorities for the San Joaquin Valley:

1. Support legislation that lowers the threshold required to pass transportation initiatives to 55%.
2. Continue to protect and solicit funding for Highway 99, a major trade corridor in the San Joaquin Valley, and other regionally significant corridors.
3. Promote legislation to shift Amtrak's *San Joaquin* line from state to regional administration.

The San Joaquin Valley RTPAs will advocate for these legislative priorities during the annual Sacramento and Washington, D.C. Valley Voice trips. The Sacramento trip is tentatively scheduled for March. (*Poythress/Taylor*)

*Action: Information and Discussion Only*

#### **I. Caltrans Quarterly Update for January/February/March 2012**

**Summary:** Included in your package is copy of the Caltrans Quarterly Update for January, February and March 2012. (*Taylor*)

*Action: Information and Discussion Only*

## **J. State Route 99 White Paper**

**Summary:** The San Joaquin Valley COG Directors have commissioned the production of a white paper describing the importance of State Route 99 to goods movement in the valley and the nation.

Included in your package is copy of the draft report called, "State Route 99 "Backbone" of the San Joaquin Valley. This document will be used in ongoing advocacy efforts at the state and especially the national level. As reauthorization of the federal transportation bill might be taken up in 2012, the Directors felt it was important to have a succinct paper covering the importance of State Route 99, its direct funding need, a list of specific projects and policy recommendations. *(Taylor)*

**Action:** Information and Discussion Only

## **K. 2011 Statewide Transportation System Needs Assessment**

**Summary:** In November, the California Transportation Commission published a report called, "2011 Statewide Transportation System Needs Assessment". The report aims to detail what is needed for California's transportation system and how we can pay for it.

The report states that the total cost of all system preservation, management, and expansion in the next 10 years is over \$530 billion, while expected revenues are less than half that - \$240 billion.

The information in the report was gathered from Caltrans and the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) throughout the state, including MCTC. The San Joaquin Valley COG Directors and the San Joaquin Valley Regional Policy Council had some concerns about the report which are noted in the attached letter from the Regional Policy Council. Also attached are the Executive Summary and the portion of the appendix showing the San Joaquin Valley projects map and project list.

The entire report is 190 pages and is available as a PDF file at:  
[http://www.catc.ca.gov/reports/2011Reports/2011\\_Needs\\_Assessment.pdf](http://www.catc.ca.gov/reports/2011Reports/2011_Needs_Assessment.pdf)  
*(Taylor)*

**Action:** Information and Discussion Only

## **L. Blueprint Committee Appointments**

**Summary:** With the completion of the Blueprint Roadmap Implementation Plan, the San Joaquin Valley Blueprint project has transitioned into a new phase. The smart growth principles developed through consultation with local agency planners and the public will now be integrated into new and updated plans developed by local governments.

In order to facilitate ongoing Blueprint implementation activities, the San Joaquin Valley MPOs have formed two new committees and appointed local agency planners to represent each Valley county.

- The Prop 84 Blueprint Integration Project Advisory Committee (PAC) is responsible for reviewing the tools and methods being utilized by regional circuit planners who have been retained to assist smaller communities with Blueprint integration.

MCTC Staff has requested that County Planning Director Norm Allinder represent Madera County on this committee. Mr. Allinder previously served on the RFP review committee for this project.

- The San Joaquin Valley Blueprint Planners Toolkit Administration and Executive Committee (AMEC) functions as an oversight committee for the Planners Toolkit and directs the work of the Toolkit Administrator.

MCTC Staff has requested that City of Madera Planning Director Dave Merchen represent Madera County on this committee. Mr. Merchen is involved in the ongoing activities of the San Joaquin Valley Blueprint Planners Workgroup.

The meetings of both committees will be open to the public and representatives from all interested agencies are encouraged to participate. (*Poythress*)

**Action:** Information and Discussion Only

**M. Letter of Support: North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians – Transportation and Training Center, Housing and Urban Development 2012 ICDBG Application**

**Summary:** Included in your package is a letter of support addressed to the North Fork Rancheria of Mono Indians. The letter expresses support in concept for the Tribe's grant application to the Housing and Urban Development ICDBG for a Transportation and Training Center in North Fork.

The Tribe plans to provide their own transit service for tribal members in the North Fork area that would feed into the "transit center/hub" and they will coordinate with the County and City to ensure smooth connections with other transit systems. The grant is focused on "essential services" for low and moderate income tribal residents. The Tribe currently operates four 13 passenger vans for TANF clients only and plan to add two vans (to be purchase with tribal road funds) to serve tribal and general public members. (*Taylor*)

**Action:** Information and Discussion Only

**IV. TRANSPORTATION ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS**

**A. PUBLIC HEARING: 2011 Federal Transportation Improvement Program – Resolution 10-12 Amendment No. 7**

**Summary:**

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON THE  
DRAFT AMENDMENT #7 TO THE 2011 FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT  
PROGRAM**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Madera County Transportation Commission (MCTC) will hold a public hearing on January 18, 2012 at 3 p.m. at the MCTC Board Room at 2001 Howard Road, Suite 201, Madera, CA 93637 regarding the Draft Amendment #7 to the 2011 Federal Transportation Improvement Program. The purpose of the hearing is to receive public comments.

- The 2011 FTIP is a listing of capital improvement and operational expenditures utilizing federal and state monies for transportation projects in Madera County during the next four years that are eligible to proceed without a conformity determination.
- The Draft Amendment #7 to the 2011 FTIP is a Type 3 amendment that contains project phases and/or projects that have been determined to be exempt from the requirement that a conformity determination and/or regional emissions analysis be performed per 40 CFR 93.126, 93.127, or 93.128. Because the projects are exempt, no further conformity determination is required.

A concurrent 7-day public review and comment period will commence on January 12, 2012 and conclude January 18, 2012 at 3:00pm. The draft documents are available for review at the MCTC office, located at 2001 Howard Road, Suite 201, Madera, CA 93637 and on the MCTC website at <http://www.maderactc.org/>.

Public comments are welcomed at the hearing, or may be submitted in writing by 3:00pm on January 18, 2012 to Derek Winning at the address below.

After considering the comments, the documents will be considered for adoption, by resolution, by the Madera County Transportation Commission at a regularly scheduled meeting to be held on January 18, 2012. The documents will then be submitted to state and federal agencies for approval.

Contact Person: Derek Winning, Deputy Director

Madera County Transportation Commission  
2001 Howard Road, Suite 201  
Madera, CA 93637  
(559) 975-9465  
[derek@maderact.org](mailto:derek@maderact.org)

(Winning)

**Action:** Approve the Madera County 2011 FTIP Resolution 10-12 Amendment No. 7.

**B. Madera County 2012 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP)**

**Summary:**

The following are important dates concerning the 2012 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Schedule:

Caltrans presents draft Fund Estimate	July 15, 2011
Fund Estimate Workshop & STIP Guidelines hearing	July 27, 2011
CTC adopts Fund Estimate	August 10, 2011
Caltrans identifies State highway needs	September 14, 2011
Regions submit RTIPs	December 15, 2011
Caltrans submits ITIP	December 15, 2011
CTC STIP hearing, South	February 1, 2012
CTC STIP hearing, North	February 8, 2012
CTC publishes staff recommendations	March 8, 2012
CTC adopts STIP	March 28, 2012

Enclosed is the Final Madera County 2012 RTIP for the Madera Region consistent with SJ Valley COG Directors decision to develop a coordinated program for submission to the California Transportation Commission as was done for the 2010 STIP cycle. The intent of the exercise is to facilitate the sharing of each county's 2012 RTIP programming in a way that we can collectively analyze programming options against our collective share capacity. Such coordination could allow for some counties to advance projects beyond their individual annual share targets based upon the programming of the other counties. This is not recognized as a loan of STIP shares, this is simply helping CTC staff balance STIP annual STIP capacity as they inherently do when every county submits their RTIPs statewide.

MCTC has partnered with Caltrans District 06 on the SR 41 Passing Lanes at \$11 million Madera RIP for construction and on the environmental study for the SR 99 – Ave 7 to Ave 12 – Widen to 6 Lanes project at \$1.5 million Madera RIP and \$1.5 million Caltrans ITIP and SR 99 – Ave 12 to Ave 17 – Widen to 6 Lanes and Ave 17 Interchange Improvements for \$1.5 million Madera RIP. Environmental Study and Design progress is necessary to better position the projects within the SR 99 Business Plan to leverage and obtain funding for the ultimate construction. There is the potential to leverage hundreds of millions in State ITIP funds for the region over the next several years. (Winning)

**Action:** Information and Discussion Only

**C. Appointment of CalVans Board Member and Alternate**

**Summary:** In October of 2011 the CalVans Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement between the councils of governments in Fresno, Kings, Madera, Monterey, Sacramento, San Benito, Santa Barbara, Santa Cruz, Sutter, Tulare, Ventura, Yolo, and Yuba counties was completed. CalVans will be a restructuring of a very successful vanpool program currently operated by Kings County Area Public Transit Agency. CalVans will provide support for the formation and operation of both commuter and farm worker vanpools to all member agency counties.

CalVans will be governed by a Board of Directors composed of representatives from the Member Agencies. Each Member Agency shall appoint one voting member of the Board and one alternate member. Each appointed and alternate Board member shall serve a term of one year. Each Member Agency shall have one

vote. Each Member Agency shall be required to notify the Public Authority in writing upon making an appointment or change thereof. *(Stone)*

**Action:** Designate Board Representative and Alternate for CalVans Board of Directors.

**D. Valley Voice – Sacramento Trip – March 7-8, 2012**

**Summary:** The annual San Joaquin Valley Regional Planning Agencies Valley Voice trip to Sacramento will take place on March 7-8, 2012. Elected officials and MPO staff from each of the eight San Joaquin Valley counties will meet with representatives from the Assembly, Senate, and statewide agencies to advocate for the priority issues identified in the Valley Legislative Platform.

The Commission will be able to fund two delegates and two staff members for hotel and meal expenses for the Sacramento trip. Further information will be available as the date of the trip draws closer. *(Taylor)*

**Action:** Appoint delegates to the Sacramento Valley Voice trip

**V. REAFFIRM ALL ACTIONS TAKEN WHILE SITTING AS THE TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE**

**VI. ADMINISTRATIVE CONSENT ITEMS**

**A. Executive Minutes of November 23, 2011**

**Summary:** Included in your package is a copy of the November 23, 2011 Executive Minutes.

**Action:** Approve Executive Minutes of November 23, 2011

**B. Transportation Development Act (TDA) Fund Audit Report for Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2010: County of Madera**

**Summary:** MCTC has received the Report of Examination of Revenues and Expenses of the Transportation Development Act (TDA) allocations for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2010 for the County of Madera. These reports were done in accordance with Section 99245 of the Public Utilities Code by Price, Paige, and Company.

We are pleased to report that there are no adverse findings. *(McNeil)*

**Action:** Accept the FY 2009/10 TDA Fund Audit Report for the County of Madera

**C. Local Streets and Roads Assessment (Contribution) – Resolution 12-01**

**Summary:** In 2009, the California State Association of Counties (CSAC) and the League of California Cities (League) raised over \$600,000 to develop the first CA Statewide Local Streets and Roads Needs Assessment (LSR) report. Most of the funding for this report came from the County of Los Angeles, other member agencies of the CSAC and League, and the Self Help Counties Coalition. This and subsequent reports were presented to the public, Boards of Supervisors and City Councils, Caltrans, the California Transportation Commission (CTC), and the State Legislature to demonstrate the ongoing needs and support the maintenance of infrastructure funding in CA.

The 2008 and 2010 LSR reports were instrumental in reducing the state budget cuts to transportation and in support of our case to the members of the U.S. House and Senate for continued funding for infrastructure; however, the need to continually support infrastructure funding at the state and federal levels requires that the LSR reporting program continue. This continuation will require a more comprehensive funding structure. It has been discussed and agreed upon at the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) and the Rural Counties Task Force (RCTF) meetings that the funding structure currently in place for the NEPA Delegation is the most efficient, dependable, and equitable structure available to continue funding this program.

The estimated cost of the program is approximately \$125,000 annually. The calculation for each region's contribution to continue this program is consistent with the formula used to calculate the apportionment of Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) funds within the state. The LSR support contribution for

MCTC will be \$909 for each report. (*McNeil, Winning*)

**Action:** Approve participation in the Local Streets and Roads Needs Assessment Program – Resolution 12-01

**D. Transportation Development Act (LTF, STA) – Allocations, STA Resolution 11-09 Amend No. 3, LTF Resolution 11-08 Amend No. 3**

**Summary:** At the September 21, 2011 Board Meeting, the MCTC Board approved the FY 2011/12 revised STA apportionment. Included in your package is an allocation amendment from the City of Madera for LTF and STA as a result of the revised STA apportionment as well to carryover balances from FY 10/11.

**Local Transportation Fund (LTF):** Prior to February 1, of each year, the county auditor provides MCTC an estimate of monies to be available for apportionment and allocation during the ensuing fiscal year. The estimate for FY 2011/12 is \$2,409,909 (decrease of \$123,741 from 2010/11). The estimate includes monies anticipated to be deposited in the fund during the ensuing fiscal year. The county auditor makes an estimate from such data including those which may be furnished by the State Board of Equalization. The county auditor will furnish a revised or updated estimate of funds available when requested by MCTC staff.

**State Transit Assistance (STA):** Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99312.7, the State Controller is directed to send a preliminary estimate of STA Funds to each transportation planning agency. For fiscal year 2011-12, there is \$329,460,734 budgeted according to the most current information from the State Controller's Office. The STA allocation estimate for Madera County is **\$843,083** (increase of \$188,157 from the original estimate for 2011/12). (*McNeil*)

**Action:** Approve Transportation Development Act (LTF & STA) – Fund Allocations, LTF Resolution 11-08 Amendment No. 3 and STA Resolution 11-09 Amendment No. 3

**VII. ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS**

**A. 2011/12 Overall Work Program – Budget Amendment No. 1**

**Summary:** Included in your package is a letter to Caltrans requesting the amendment, copy of the amended budget, amended OWP spreadsheet, and amended work elements. The revised Budget is \$1,002,143 (reduced \$425 from original budget). The amendment reflects changes in estimated lower expenditures this fiscal year and some line item budget changes to adjust for actual expenditures.

The amendment is recognized in the following:

Revenues – (Decrease \$425)

- FHWA PL – decrease \$13,191
- Toll Credits PL – decrease \$332
- FTA 5303 Carryover – decrease \$2,086
- STIP Planning – increase \$28
- SJV Blueprint – increase \$8,596
- TDA Planning – increase \$4,288
- Member Assessment Fees – increase \$2,000

Salaries and Benefits – (Increase \$75)

- Worker's Compensation – increase \$75

Indirect Costs – (Decrease \$2,000)

- Equipment Maintenance & Repairs – increase \$1,000
- MCTC Audit – increase \$1,000
- Membership Fees – decrease \$4,000

Other Direct Costs – (Increase \$1,500)

- Triennial Performance Audit – increase \$1,000
- Board Costs and Other Costs – increase \$500

The amendment is reflected in the following OWP Work Elements: Work 100 – Regional Transportation Plan; Work Element 113 – Air Quality Transportation Planning; Work Element 120 – Goods Movement & Highway Planning; Work Element 130 – Public Transportation; Work Element 140 – Other Modal Elements; Work Element 150 – Public Participation Program; Work Element 904 – Valley-wide Coordination; Work

Element 905 – San Joaquin Valley Regional Blueprint; Work Element 907 – Board Costs & Other Expenses.  
(McNeil)

**Action:** Approve FY 2011/12 Overall Work Program and Budget – Amendment No. 1

## **MCTC Sitting as the Madera County 2006 Transportation Authority**

### **VIII. AUTHORITY – CONSENT ITEMS**

#### **A. FY 2010/11 Madera County Transportation Authority Audit Report**

**Summary:** MCTA has received the Measure “T” Compliance Audit Report for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2011 for the Madera County Transportation Authority. This report was done in accordance with Section 99245 of the Public Utilities Code by Price, Paige, and Company.

We are pleased to report that there are no adverse findings. (McNeil)

**Action:** Accept the Madera County Transportation Authority June 30, 2011 Measure “T” Audit Report

#### **B. FY 2009/10 Measure “T” Compliance Audit Report: County of Madera**

**Summary:** MCTA has received the Measure “T” Compliance Audit Report for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2010 for the County of Madera. This report was done in accordance with Section 99245 of the Public Utilities Code by Price, Paige, and Company.

We are pleased to report that there are no adverse findings. (McNeil)

**Action:** Accept the Measure “T” FY 2009/10 Compliance Audit for the County of Madera

### **IX. AUTHORITY – ACTION/DISCUSSION ITEMS**

#### **A. FY 2011-12 Annual Work Program – Amendment No.1**

**Summary:** At the direction of the Policy Board, MCTC has partnered with Caltrans District 06 on the environmental study for the SR 99 – Ave 7 to Ave 12 – Widen to 6 Lanes project at \$1.5 million Madera RIP and \$1.5 million Caltrans ITIP and SR 99 – Ave 12 to Ave 17 – Widen to 6 Lanes and Ave 17 Interchange Improvements for \$1.5 million Madera RIP and \$1.6 million in Measure T Flexible Funds. Environmental Study and Design progress is necessary to better position the projects within the SR 99 Business Plan to leverage and obtain funding for the ultimate construction. There is the potential to leverage hundreds of millions in State ITIP funds and other federal and state funds for the region over the next several years.

2011/12 AWP Amendment No. 1 would authorize \$300,000 in FY 2011/12 to develop a Caltrans level PSR for the Ave 17 Interchange to be incorporated into the mainline SR 99 widening project. The amendment also authorizes a future expenditure of \$1.6 million for the Environmental Study for the SR 99 – Ave 12 to Ave 17 – Widen to 6 lanes and Ave 17 Interchange Improvements. The authorizations are from the Measure T Flexible Program and are considered “seed money” to position the project for federal and state funding for construction. There are no future plans for Measure T to contribute funding for any other phase of either SR 99 widening project. (Winning)

**Action:** Approve 2011/12 AWP Amendment No. 1

### **X. Miscellaneous**

- A.** Election of Officers
- B.** Items from Caltrans
- C.** Items from Staff
- D.** Items from Commissioners

### **XI. Adjournment**

---